Surprising Formation of Chlorinated Butenolides from Dialkyl Acetylenedicarboxylates and Hexachloroacetone in the Presence of Triphenyl Phosphite

by Issa Yavari*, Maryam Sabbaghan, Zinatossadat Hossaini, and Majid Ghazanfarpour-Darjani

Chemistry Department, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14115-175, Tehran, Iran $(phone: +98-21-82883465; fax: +98-21-82886544; e-mail: yavarisa@modares.ac.fr)$

Triphenyl phosphite reacts smoothly with dialkyl acetylenedicarboxylates and hexachloroacetone to produce alkyl 2-(dichloromethylene)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-4-(trichloromethyl)furan-3-carboxylates in good yields.

Introduction. – Butenolides, a structural unit in many natural products, are important intermediates in organic synthesis [1]. These compounds are often encountered among fungi [2], bacteria [3], and gorgonians [4] to name a few. Their saturated analogues act as signaling substances in bacteria [5] and enhance spore formation of streptomycetes or induce metabolite production [6].

As part of our current studies on the development of new routes in heterocyclic systems [7], we describe a simple synthesis of chlorinated alkyl 2,5-dihydrofuran-3 carboxylates. Thus, triphenyl phosphite (1) reacts with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (2a, DMAD) in the presence of hexachloroacetone (HCA; 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexachloropropan-2-one)¹) in dry CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature to produce methyl 2-(dichloromethylene)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-4-(trichloromethyl)furan-3-carboxylate (3a) in 95% yield [9] (Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion. – The reaction of the activated acetylenes 2 with HCA in the presence of 1 was complete within a few hours. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of the

1) HCA was introduced as a source of positive chlorine [8a] as well as of dichlorocarbene [8b] [8c]. It has also been used for the preparation of amides [8d] and of alkyl trichloroacetates [8e].

© 2008 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

crude reaction mixtures clearly indicated the formation of 2,5-dihydrofuran-3 carboxylates $3a-3c$ (*Scheme 1*). No other products were detected by NMR spectroscopy. The structures of the products were corroborated by IR, ¹ H- and 13C-NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. For example, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 3a in CDCl₃ showed a *singlet* for the COOMe group (δ (H) 3.99). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 3a exhibited eight signals in agreement with the proposed structure. The mass spectrum of 3a displayed the 35 Cl/37Cl isotope pattern of the molecular ion peaks for 5 Cl substituents with the main peak at $m/z = 340$. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 3b and 3c are similar to those for 3a except for the alkyl moieties, which exhibited characteristic resonances in appropriate regions of the spectrum (see Exper. Part).

Although the mechanistic details of the above reaction are unknown, a plausible pathway of product formation is formulated in Scheme 2. It is conceivable that the initial event is the formation of the 1,3-dipolar intermediate 4 from 1 and the acetylenic ester $[9-12]$, which is subsequently attacked by dichloroketene 5 generated in situ from HCA and 1 to produce 6. The intermediate 6 undergoes a cyclization reaction to generate 7, which is finally converted to 3 by substitution of triphenyl phosphite with trichloromethanide.

The reaction between HCA and trialkyl phosphites leads to the formation of enol phosphates, e.g., diethyl 2,2-dichloro-1-(trichloromethyl)ethenyl phosphate [13] (Scheme 3), which has been investigated in view of its biological activity as an insect repellent [14]. This dehalogenation reaction, which is an example of the Perkow reaction [15], represents a partial reduction of HCA.

In conclusion, we have described a convenient route to functionalized 2,5 dihydrofuran-3-carboxylates from hexachloroacetone and dialkyl acetylenedicarboxylates 2 in the presence of triphenyl phosphite (1). The chlorinated butenolides reported in this work may be considered as potentially useful synthesis intermediates. The advantage of the present procedure is that the reaction is performed under neutral conditions by simple mixing of the starting materials in CH_2Cl_2 . The procedure described provides an acceptable one-pot method for the preparation of functionalized butenolides.

Experimental Part

General. Starting materials 1, 2, and HCA were obtained from Fluka and used without further purification. IR Spectra: *Shimadzu IR-460* spectrophotometer; in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: Bruker DRX-500-Avance instrument; in CDCl₃ at 500.1 and 125.7 MHz, resp.; δ in ppm, J in Hz. EI-MS (70 eV): Finnigan MAT-8430 mass spectrometer; in m/z. Elemental analyses (C, H, N): Heraeus CHN-O-Rapid analyzer.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 3. Described as an Example for 3a. To a stirred soln. of DMAD ($=$ dimethyl but-2-ynedioate; 0.28 g, 2 mmol) and HCA ($=$ 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexachloropropan-2-one; 0.52 g, 2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) was added triphenyl phosphite (0.50 ml, 2 mmol) at r.t. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 24 h at r.t. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the viscous residue was subjected to column chromatography $(SiO₂; hexane/ACOEt 9:1)$ to afford the pure title compound.

Methyl 2-(Dichloromethylidene)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-4-(trichloromethyl)furan-3-carboxylate (3a). Yield: 0.64 g (95%). Pale yellow powder. M.p. 92–95°. IR (KBr): 1773, 1723 (C=O), 1577, 1235. ¹H-NMR: 3.99 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR: 55.8 (MeO); 74.9 (CCl₃); 118.7 (CCl₂); 127.7, 142.5, 143.4 (3 C); $160.0, 163.7$ (2 C=O). EI-MS: 344 (2, M⁺), 342 (6, M⁺), 340 (10, M⁺), 338 (6, M⁺), 305 (35), 281 (31), 261 (35), 224 (10), 165 (12), 106 (18), 82 (20), 59 (100), 33 (36). Anal. calc. for C₈H₃Cl₃O₄ (340.37): C 28.23, H 0.89; found: C 28.15, H 0.90.

Ethyl 2-(Dichloromethylidene)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-4-(trichloromethyl)furan-3-carboxylate (3b). Yield: 0.63 g (90%). Pale yellow powder. M.p. 75 – 77°. IR (KBr): 1763, 1720 (C=O), 1571, 1229. $H-NMR: 1.36$ (t, $J = 7.1$, $MeCH_2$); 4.33 (q, $J = 7.1$, $MeCH_2$). ¹³C-NMR: 14.1 (Me); 59.4 (CH₂O); 74.6 $(CCl₃)$; 117.9 $(CCl₂)$; 127.3, 141.9, 142.7 (3 C); 159.4, 162.6 (2 C=O). EI-MS: 358 (1, M⁺⁺), 356 (4, M⁺⁺), 354 $(6, M⁺), 352$ $(4, M⁺), 319$ $(24), 122$ $(14), 73$ $(100), 59$ $(10), 45$ (20) . Anal. calc. for C₉H₃Cl₅O₄ (354.40): C 30.50, H 1.42; found: C 30.55, H 1.38.

Isopropyl 2-(Dichloromethylidene)-2,5-dihydro-5-oxo-4-(trichloromethyl)furan-3-carboxylate (3c). Yield: 0.47 g (65%). Yellow oil. IR (KBr): 1763, 1716 (C=O), 1604, 1256. ¹H-NMR: 1.39 (d, $J = 6.2$, $Me₂CH$); 5.12 (sept., $J = 6.2$, Me₂CH). ¹³C-NMR: 21.8 (2 Me); 64.7 (CH-O); 75.7 (CCl₃); 117.2 (CCl₂); $128.9, 138.2, 149.5$ (3 C); 164.4, 167.4 (2 C=O). EI-MS: 372 (1, M⁺·), 370 (3, M⁺·), 368 (6, M⁺·), 366 (4, M^+), 333 (29), 130 (12), 116 (14), 87 (100), 72 (16). Anal. calc. for C₁₀H₇Cl₅O₄ (368.42): C 32.60, H 1.91; found: C 32.72, H 1.88.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adrio, J. C. Carretero, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 778; N. Maulide, I. E. Marko, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3705; S. Ma, Z. Yu, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1063.
- [2] C. J. Smith, D. Abbanat, S. V. Bernan, W. M. Maiese, M. Greenstein, J. Jompa, A. Tahir, C. M. Ireland, J. Nat. Prod. 2000, 63, 142.
- [3] D. Braun, N. Pauli, U. Sequin, H. Zahner, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 1995, 126, 37.
- [4] Y. W. Guo, M. Gavagnin, E. Mollo, E. Trivellone, G. Cimino, J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 1194; A. D. Rodriguez, C. Ramirez, J. Nat. Prod. 1994, 57, 339.
- [5] Y. Yamada, T. Nihira, in 'Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry', Eds. D. H. R. Barton, K. Nakanishi, Elsevier, Oxford, 1999, Vol. 8, p. 377.
- [6] S. Sakuda, S. Tanaka, K. Mizuno, O. Sukcharoen, T. Nihira, Y. Yamada, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 2309.
- [7] I. Yavari, M. Sabbaghan, Z. Hossaini, Synlett 2006, 2501; I. Yavari, Z. Hossaini, M. Sabbaghan, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6037; I. Yavari, L. Moradi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 1627; I. Yavari, H. Djahaniani, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 7491; I. Yavari, H. Djahaniani, F. Nasiri, Synthesis 2004, 679; I. Yavari, A. Habibi, Synthesis 2004, 989.
- [8] a) F. M. Laskovics, E. M. Schulman, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 759; b) F. W. Grant, W. B. Cassie, J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 1443; c) F. W. Grant, W. B. Cassie, J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 2263; d) C. A. Panetta, T. G. Casanova, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 2423; e) R. S. Freedlander, T. A. Bryson, R. B. Dunlap, E. M. Schulman, C. A. Lewis, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3519.
- [9] R. Huisgen, K. Herbig, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1965, 688, 981.
- [10] R. Huisgen, M. Morikawa, K. Herbig, E. Brunn, Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 1094.
- [11] E. Winterfeldt, Chem Ber. **1965**, 98, 3537.
- [12] V. Nair, C. Rajesh, A. U. Vinod, S. Bindu, A. R. Sreekanth, J. S. Mathen, L. Balagopal, Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 899.
- [13] D. W. Wiley, H. E. Simmons, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1876.
- [14] K. V. Nikonorov, I. D. Neklesova, E. A. Gutylev, M. A. Kudrina, V. A. Nikonenko, F. F. Mertsalova, N. N. Anisimova, I. S. Iraidova, N. V. Egorova, L. I. Aisanova, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1974, 44, 1267; J. General Chem. USSR 1974, 44, 1245 (Engl. Transl.).
- [15] W. Perkow, Chem. Ber. 1954, 87, 755; H. Krauch, W. Kunz, 'Reaktionen der Organischen Chemie', 6th edn., Eds.W. Kunz, E. Nonnenmacher, Hüthig Verlag, Heidelberg, 1997, p. 634.

Received Februar 13, 2008